

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,217	10/13/2004	Andras Montvay	DE 020096	2143
24737 7590 05/17/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 300	10/511,217 10/13/2004 Andras Montvay	KIM, HEE SOO		
BRIARCLIFF	RCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2109	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/511,217	MONTVAY ET AL.				
oeo, .e.en ouninary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Hee Soo Kim	2109				
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	AICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>)/13/2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 October 2004</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	Anto have been received	•				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
	<u> </u>					
application from the International Bure	•	in received in the Hadenar Glage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		ot received.				
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tintenview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,217

Art Unit: 2109

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 10/13/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show proper labels of the diagrams as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. The drawings must explicitly show the proper steps of the addressing conversion method for examiner to understand the claimed invention and enable proper search for prior art. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1~8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graber et al hereinafter Graber (U.S Patent# 5,712,979).

Regarding Claim 1, "A method for address conversion in a network with at least two appliances that use different kinds of addressing methods, wherein a first address from a first addressing method is converted into a second address from a second addressing method as follows: "

Art Unit: 2109

Graber discloses in Figure 1, "a method for tracking the navigation path of a user that has been directed to a second site on the WWW from a first site on the WWW (Column 2, Lines 62~64)." The user station 102a communicating to the site 122a of a first co-marketer on WWW 120 includes an advertisement such that a user of user station 102a may choose to connect to OLS site 128 simply by "clicking" on the advertisement at WWW site 122a (Column 5, Line 25~34). One with ordinary skill in the art will fully understand the user station 102a accessing the OLS site 128 located at WWW through the ad link, constitute communication between two appliances or computers which are on different network segments. For communication between sites 122a and OLS site 128 to occur, an address conversion is required.

Regarding the 1st paragraph of Claim 1, "positions of the addresses at which all addresses of the second addressing method that are in use each exhibit at least one identical character are determined, and the character is designated a common character."

Graber discloses that "the first site has a universal resource locator (URL) symbol for uniquely identifying an address of the first site on the WWW, and the second site has a <u>URL symbol for uniquely identifying an address of the second site</u> on the WWW (Column 2, Line 61~Column 3, Lines 1~3)." The address or the URL of the second site (www.ols.com) or any sites located at WWW has identical characters which are designated a common character, for example, http://www.sitename.com/index.html. The "www" and ".com" will always be identical as denoting all sites in the World Wide Web within the .com domain. The "/index.html" will be the common characters

designated to indicate the home page of sitename. One with ordinary skill in the art will fully understand there are numerous other "pages" within the address site. The address conversion method is mentioned in Claims 1~4 as well (Column 15, Line 63).

Regarding the 2nd paragraph of Claim 1, "an arbitrary amendment is made to at least one common character,"

Graber discloses "a <u>composite URL symbol</u> is received at the second WWW site when the user is directed from the first site to the second site. The composite URL symbol has a <u>first portion</u> corresponding to the URL symbol of the second site, and a <u>second portion</u> that includes information corresponding to the <u>identity of the first site</u>. The information representative of the identity of the first site is <u>captured at the second WWW site</u> from the second portion of the composite URL (Column 3, Lines 4~9)." The user is directed from the co-marketer site to the OLS site with the identity of the co-marketer site within the OLS site "amending" the www.ols.com/index.html to www.ols.com/cm1/index.html.

Regarding the 3rd paragraph of Claim 1, "the second address is formed from the common, amended characters and at least multiple characters from the first address,"

As stated above, the result of the new second address in relation with the first address is www.ols.com/cm1/index.html.

Regarding the 4th paragraph of Claim 1, "The common characters within the second address occur in the same positions as in all other addresses of the second addressing method."

Graber discloses "the identity of the first WWW site is then <u>determined at the second WWW site</u> by <u>comparing</u> information from the second portion of the composite URL to a table having a plurality of entries each of which is representative of a known WWW site (Column 3, Lines 9~14)." The OLS 128 site relative to the first site is shown below:

www.ols.com/index.html ← original 2nd address site (OLS site 128)

www.ols.com/cm1/index.html ← original site relative to 1st address site

Regarding Claims 2-6, the claims fail to further limit the method of Claim 1 for address conversion in a network with at least two appliances that use different kinds of addressing methods, wherein a first address from a first addressing method is converted into a second address from a second addressing method.

Regarding Claim 7, Graber discloses an apparatus similar to Claim 1 and further mentioned in Claim 8 (Column 16, Line 43).

Regarding Claim 8, the claim is rejected for analogous reasons similar to Claims 1 and 7.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

David C. Plummer, RFC 826: An Ethernet Address Resolution Protocol,
 November 1982, is cited for teaching a method of converting protocol addresses
 (e.g., IP addresses) to Local Area Network addresses (e.g., Ethernet addresses).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,217

Art Unit: 2109

Mizuhara et al. (U.S Patent# 6,522,652), is cited for teaching to provide all ATM

Page 7

exchange wherein more supple collective routing than VP routing is enabled

without waste of VPI/VCI resources.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hee Soo Kim whose telephone number is (571) 270-

3229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30AM - 5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marvin Lateef can be reached on (571) 272-5026. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HSK HSK

4/20/07

MARVIN LATEEF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Man La